

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8

9 DARREL WAYNE VANDERGRIFF,
10

11 Petitioner,
12

13 NO. CV-08-5014-RHW
14

15 vs.
16

17 ORDER DISMISSING PETITION
18

19 STATE OF WASHINGTON,
20

21 Respondent.
22

23 By Order filed April 21, 2008, the court advised Mr. Vandergriff
24 of the deficiencies of his federal habeas petition, and directed him
25 to amend within sixty (60) days. Petitioner did not amend, and he has
26 filed nothing further in this action.

27 Therefore, for the reasons set forth in the court's prior Order,
28
IT IS ORDERED the Petition is **DISMISSED** for lack of personal
jurisdiction. See Rule 2(a), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in
the United States District Courts; *Stanley v. California Supreme
Court*, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994); *Brittingham v. United States*,
982 F.2d 378, 379 (9th Cir. 1992); *Dunne v. Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249
(9th Cir. 1989).

29 ////
30 ////
31 ////
32

33 ORDER DISMISSING PETITION -- 1
34

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment, forward a copy to Petitioner at this last known address and **close the file**.

DATED this 25th day of June 2008.

s/Robert H. Whaley
ROBERT H. WHALEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Q:\CIVIL\2008\Vandergriff\8cv5014rhw-6-20-DISHC.wpd